CS-567 Machine Learning

Nazar Khan

PUCIT

Lecture 13
Linear Regression

Regression

- The previous topic, density estimation, was an unsupervised learning problem.
  - The goal was to model the distribution $p(\mathbf{x})$ of input variables $\mathbf{x}$.
- We now turn to supervised learning where we model the *predictive distribution* $p(t|\mathbf{x})$.
- We start by studying the problem of *regression*.
  - Predict *continuous* target variable(s) t given input variables vector $\mathbf{x}$.
- Given training data $\{(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1), \ldots, (\mathbf{x}_N, t_N)\}$, learn a function $y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})$ that maps the inputs to the targets.
- Regression corresponds to finding the optimal parameters $\mathbf{w}^*$.

Linear Regression

- The simplest regression model is *linear regression*.
- Linear in parameters $\mathbf{w}$ and linear in inputs $\mathbf{x}$.

$$y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} = w_0 + w_1 x_1 + \cdots + w_D x_D$$

- Parameter w_0 accounts for a fixed offset in the data and is called the *bias* parameter.
- Note that for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^D$, $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{D+1}$.

Linear Regression

- Linear models are significantly limited for practical problems – especially for high dimensional inputs.
- However, they have nice analytical properties and they form the foundation for more sophisticated machine learning approaches.

Linear Regression

- A more powerful model is linear in parameters $\mathbf{w}$ but non-linear in inputs $\mathbf{x}$.

$$y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^T \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) = w_0\phi_0(\mathbf{x}) + w_1\phi_1(\mathbf{x}) + \cdots + w_M\phi_M(\mathbf{x})$$

- $\phi_0(\mathbf{x})$ is usually set to 1 to make w_0 the bias parameter.
- Note that now $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{M+1}$ where M is not necessarily equal to D.
- The input $\mathbf{x}$-space is non-linearly mapped to $\boldsymbol{\phi}$-space and learning takes place in this new $\boldsymbol{\phi}$-space.
- While the learning remains linear, the learned mapping is actually non-linear in $\mathbf{x}$-space.

Linear Regression
Probabilistic perspective

- We have already covered linear regression in our polynomial fitting example.
- As before, we assume that target t is given by a deterministic function $y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})$ with additive Gaussian noise. That is

$$t = y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) + \epsilon$$

  where $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \beta^{-1})$.
- Therefore, we can write

$$p(t|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}, \beta) = \mathcal{N}(t|y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}), \beta^{-1})$$

Linear Regression
Probabilistic perspective

- Likelihood for i.i.d data $\{(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1), \ldots, (\mathbf{x}_N, t_N)\}$ can be written as

$$\prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{N}(t_n | \mathbf{w}^T \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n), \beta^{-1})$$

- Log-likelihood becomes

$$\frac{N}{2} \ln \beta - \frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \beta \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \{t_n - \mathbf{w}^T \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x_n})\}^2}_{\text{SSE}}$$

- Therefore, maximisation of log-likelihood with respect to $\mathbf{w}$ is equivalent to minimisation of SSE function.

Linear Regression
Probabilistic perspective

- Gradient with respect to $\mathbf{w}$ is $\sum_{n=1}^{N}\{t_n - \mathbf{w}^T\phi(\mathbf{x_n})\}\phi(\mathbf{x_n})^T$.
- Equating gradient to the $\mathbf{0}$ vector

$$\mathbf{0} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} t_n\phi(\mathbf{x_n})^T - \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{ML}}^T\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N}\phi(\mathbf{x_n})\phi(\mathbf{x_n})^T\right)$$

- To convert to a pure matrix-vector notation without summations, let us define the following $N \times M$ matrix

$$\mathbf{\Phi} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_0(\mathbf{x}_1) & \phi_1(\mathbf{x}_1) & \cdots & \phi_{M-1}(\mathbf{x}_1) \\ \phi_0(\mathbf{x}_2) & \phi_1(\mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \phi_{M-1}(\mathbf{x}_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi_0(\mathbf{x}_N) & \phi_1(\mathbf{x}_N) & \cdots & \phi_{M-1}(\mathbf{x}_N) \end{bmatrix}$$

known as the *design matrix*.

Linear Regression
Probabilistic perspective

- It can be verified that the second term in Equation (1) $\sum_{n=1}^{N} \phi(\mathbf{x_n})\phi(\mathbf{x_n})^T = \mathbf{\Phi}^T\mathbf{\Phi}$. **(H.W. Verify this.)**
- By placing the target values in a vector $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_N)^T$ we can also write the first term as $\mathbf{\Phi}^T\mathbf{t}$. **(H.W. Verify this.)**
- Now we can solve for $\mathbf{w}_{\text{ML}}$ as

$$\mathbf{w}_{\text{ML}} = \underbrace{(\mathbf{\Phi}^T\mathbf{\Phi})^{-1}\mathbf{\Phi}^T}_{\mathbf{\Phi}^\dagger}\mathbf{t}$$ (this was your answer to Excercise 1.1)

- The $M \times N$ matrix $\mathbf{\Phi}^\dagger$ is known as the *Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverse* or simply *pseudo-inverse* of matrix $\mathbf{\Phi}$.
- It is a generalisation of matrix inverse to non-square matrices.
- For a square, invertible matrix $\mathbf{\Phi}$, it can be verified that $\mathbf{\Phi}^\dagger = \mathbf{\Phi}^{-1}$. **(H.W. Verify this.)**

Linear Regression
Regularisation

- MAP estimation using a zero-mean Gaussian prior on $\mathbf{w}$ leads to regularised linear regression

  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{ML}} = (\lambda\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{\Phi}^T\mathbf{\Phi})^{-1}\mathbf{\Phi}^T\mathbf{t}$ (this was your answer to Excercise 1.2)

  where λ is the *regularisation coefficient* that controls the trade-off between fitting and regularisation.
- This is also known as *regularised least squares*.
- Such regularisation is also called *weight decay* or *parameter shrinkage* because it encourages weight/parameter values to remain close to 0.
- Regularisation allows more complex models to be trained on small datasets without severe over-fitting.
- However, parameter λ needs to be set appropriately.

Linear Regression
Mutivariate targets

- For the case of multivariate target vectors $\mathbf{t}_n \in \mathbb{R}^K$, we are interested in the multivariate mapping $\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W}) = \mathbf{W}^T \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{x})$.
- Column k of the $M \times K$ matrix $\mathbf{W}$ determines the mapping from $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ to the k_{th} output component.
- Under isotropic Gaussian noise assumption, we can write the *multivariate* predictive distribution

$$p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W}, \beta) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}), \beta^{-1}\mathbf{I}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{W}^T \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}), \beta^{-1}\mathbf{I})$$

- The ML solution for i.i.d. data $\{\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{t}_n\}_{n=1}^N$ can then be computed as

$$\mathbf{W}_{\text{ML}} = \boldsymbol{\Phi}^\dagger \mathbf{T}$$

where $\mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{t}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{t}_N^T \end{bmatrix}$ is the $N \times K$ matrix of target vectors.