

# MS-252 Linear Algebra

**Nazar Khan**

Department of Computer Science  
University of the Punjab

7. Determinants

# Determinants

Content in this lecture applies only to square matrices.

- ▶ Gauss studied some quantities that *determine* some properties of a matrix.
- ▶ They are called *determinants*.
- ▶ For  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $\det \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix} = ad - bc$ .
- ▶ This lecture is about determinants of general  $n \times n$  matrices.

# Minors, Cofactors and a Recursive Formula for Determinants

For  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ ,

- ▶  $M_{ij}$  = *minor of entry  $a_{ij}$*  = determinant of the submatrix that remains after the  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column are deleted from  $A$ .
- ▶  $C_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} M_{ij}$  is called the *cofactor of entry  $a_{ij}$* .
- ▶ For any row  $i$

$$\text{Det}(A) = a_{i1}C_{i1} + a_{i2}C_{i2} + \cdots + a_{in}C_{in}$$

which is recursive since  $C_{ij}$  depends on the determinant of a smaller  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  matrix.

# Minors, Cofactors and a Recursive Formula for Determinants

- ▶ Also, for any column  $j$

$$\text{Det}(A) = a_{1j}C_{1j} + a_{2j}C_{2j} + \cdots + a_{nj}C_{nj}$$

- ▶ Each cofactor  $C_{ij}$  can in turn be computed in multiple ways.
- ▶ *Tip:* Pick row (or column) with maximum zeros. This will reduce computation.

Whichever row or column is picked for cofactor expansions, the answer ( $\det(A)$ ) will be the same.

---

Historical note: An alternative method for computing determinants was invented by the author of *Alice's Adventures in Wonderland*. He was actually a mathematician.

## Practice

Find determinants of the following matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & -4 & 3 \\ 5 & 4 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Be smart in picking the row or column for cofactor expansion.

## When is $\text{Det}(A) = 0$ ?

1. If  $A$  has a row of zeros or a column of zeros, then  $\det(A) = 0$ .
  - ▶ Since cofactor expansion of all rows gives the same answer, let us pick the row of all zeros.
  - ▶ Let  $i$  be the index of the row of zeros.
  - ▶ Then  $\det(A) = 0C_{i1} + 0C_{i2} + \cdots + 0C_{in} = 0$ .
  - ▶ Similarly for column of zeros.
2. If  $A$  has two proportional rows or two proportional columns then  $\det(A) = 0$ .
  - ▶ Proof to follow.

## Determinant of diagonal and triangular matrices

- ▶ Determinant of lower triangular matrix can be computed as

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & 0 \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} \end{vmatrix} = a_{11} \begin{vmatrix} a_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{32} & a_{33} & 0 \\ a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} \end{vmatrix} = a_{11} a_{22} \begin{vmatrix} a_{33} & 0 \\ a_{43} & a_{44} \end{vmatrix} \\ = a_{11} a_{22} a_{33} |a_{44}| = a_{11} a_{22} a_{33} a_{44}$$

- ▶ Same can be shown for upper triangular and diagonal matrices.

Determinant of diagonal and triangular matrices is equal to product of diagonal entries.

# Determinants and EROs

ERO	Effect on Determinant
Scale by $k$	Scaled by $k$
Add multiple of a row to another	No change
Swap two rows	Multiplied by $-1$ .

Relationship	Operation
$\begin{vmatrix} ka_{11} & ka_{12} & ka_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} = k \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix}$ $\det(B) = k \det(A)$	In the matrix $B$ the first row of $A$ was multiplied by $k$ .
$\begin{vmatrix} a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} = - \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix}$ $\det(B) = -\det(A)$	In the matrix $B$ the first and second rows of $A$ were interchanged.
$\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} + ka_{21} & a_{12} + ka_{22} & a_{13} + ka_{23} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix}$ $\det(B) = \det(A)$	In the matrix $B$ a multiple of the second row of $A$ was added to the first row.

# Determinants via EROs

- ▶ This gives us an alternative method for computing determinants.
  1. Reduce to triangular form via EROs.
  2. Take product of diagonal entries and the factors introduced because of the EROs.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \det(A) &= \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 3 & -6 & 9 \\ 2 & 6 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = - \begin{vmatrix} 3 & -6 & 9 \\ 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 2 & 6 & 1 \end{vmatrix} && \leftarrow \text{The first and second rows of } A \text{ were interchanged.} \\
 &= -3 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 2 & 6 & 1 \end{vmatrix} && \leftarrow \text{A common factor of 3 from the first row was taken through the determinant sign.} \\
 &= -3 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 10 & -5 \end{vmatrix} && \leftarrow -2 \text{ times the first row was added to the third row.} \\
 &= -3 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & -55 \end{vmatrix} && \leftarrow -10 \text{ times the second row was added to the third row.} \\
 &= (-3)(-55) \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} && \leftarrow \text{A common factor of } -55 \text{ from the last row was taken through the determinant sign.} \\
 &= (-3)(-55)(1) = 165
 \end{aligned}$$

# Proportional rows/columns $\implies \det = 0$

*Proof*

- ▶ Row-echelon form is always upper-triangular.
- ▶ If matrix has two proportional rows/columns, row-echelon form will contain a row/column of zeros.
- ▶ So diagonal of row-echelon form will contain a 0.
- ▶ So determinant of row-echelon form will be 0.
- ▶ Since EROs can only scale the determinant, this means that determinant of original matrix must be 0 as well.

# Properties

- ▶  $\text{Det}(kA) = k^n \text{Det}(A)$ .
- ▶  $\text{Det}(A + B) \neq \text{Det}(A) + \text{Det}(B)$ .
- ▶  $\text{Det}(EB) = \text{Det}(E)\text{Det}(B)$ . (See 4 slides back.)
- ▶  $\text{Det}(E_1 E_2 \dots E_r B) = \text{Det}(E_1)\text{Det}(E_2) \dots \text{Det}(E_r)\text{Det}(B)$ .

# Determinant and Invertibility

$A$  is invertible if and only if  $\det(A) \neq 0$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $R$  be the RREF of  $A$ . Then  $R = E_1 E_2 \dots E_r A$  and so

$$\text{Det}(R) = \text{Det}(E_1)\text{Det}(E_2) \dots \text{Det}(E_r)\text{Det}(A) \quad (1)$$

- ▶  $A$  invertible  $\implies R = I \implies \det(A) \neq 0$  since L.H.S of (1)  $\neq 0$  and  $\det(E_i) \neq 0$  always.
- ▶ Similarly, using (1),  $\det(A) \neq 0 \implies \det(R) \neq 0 \implies R$  does not have any zero row  $\implies R = I \implies A$  is invertible.

## Equivalent Statements

- If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix, then the following statements are equivalent, that is, all true or all false.
1.  $A$  is invertible.
  2.  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has only the trivial solution.
  3. The reduced row echelon form of  $A$  is  $I_n$ .
  4.  $A$  is expressible as a product of elementary matrices.
  5.  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has exactly one solution for every  $n \times 1$  vector  $\mathbf{b}$ . The solution is  $\mathbf{x} = A^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ .
  6.  $\det(A) \neq 0$ .

# Det( $AB$ )

$$\boxed{\text{Det}(AB) = \text{Det}(A)\text{Det}(B).}$$

Proof:  $A$  is either invertible or not invertible.

$$\begin{aligned} A \text{ invertible} &\implies A = E_1 E_2 \dots E_r \\ &\implies AB = E_1 E_2 \dots E_r B \\ &\implies \det(AB) = \det(E_1 E_2 \dots E_r B) \\ &= \det(E_1) \det(E_2) \dots \det(E_r) \det(B) \\ &= \det(E_1 E_2 \dots E_r) \det(B) \\ &= \det(A) \det(B) \end{aligned}$$

$$A \text{ not invertible} \implies \det(A) = 0 \implies \det(A) \det(B) = 0$$

$$A \text{ not invertible} \implies AB \text{ not invertible}$$

$$\implies \det(AB) = 0$$

So  $\text{Det}(AB) = \text{Det}(A)\text{Det}(B)$  always.

# $\det(A^{-1})$

For invertible  $A$ ,  $\det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det(A)}$

Proof:  $A$  invertible  $\implies AA^{-1} = I \implies \det(AA^{-1}) = 1 \implies \det(A)\det(A^{-1}) = 1 \implies \det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det(A)}$  since  $\det(A) \neq 0$ .

# Adjoint

- ▶ Let  $C_{ij}$  be the cofactor of entry  $a_{ij}$  of  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ .
- ▶ Then the *adjoint matrix* is defined as

$$\text{adj}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & \dots & C_{1n} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} & \dots & C_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{n1} & C_{n2} & \dots & C_{nn} \end{bmatrix}^T$$

- ▶ Notice the transpose.
- ▶ Show that adjoint of  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 6 & 3 \\ 2 & -4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is  $\begin{bmatrix} 12 & 4 & 12 \\ 6 & 2 & -10 \\ -16 & 16 & 16 \end{bmatrix}$

# A Formula for Matrix Inverse

- ▶ Recall that for any row  $i$

$$\text{Det}(A) = a_{i1}C_{i1} + a_{i2}C_{i2} + \cdots + a_{in}C_{in}$$

- ▶ If entries come from row  $i$  and cofactors come from row  $j \neq i$ , then *the answer is always zero*. **Verify**.
- ▶ Consider the product  $A \text{adj}(A)$ .

$$A \text{adj}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{i1} & a_{i2} & \cdots & a_{in} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{21} & \cdots & C_{j1} & \cdots & C_{n1} \\ C_{12} & C_{22} & \cdots & C_{j2} & \cdots & C_{n2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ C_{1n} & C_{2n} & \cdots & C_{jn} & \cdots & C_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

## A Formula for Matrix Inverse

- ▶ The blue highlighted row and column product is
  - ▶ 0 for  $i \neq j$ , and
  - ▶  $\det(A)$  for  $i = j$ .
- ▶ So

$$A \operatorname{adj}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} \det(A) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \det(A) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \det(A) \end{bmatrix} = \det(A)I$$

- ▶ Therefore,  $A \left( \frac{1}{\det(A)} \operatorname{adj}(A) \right) = I$ .
- ▶ This gives us a *formula* for matrix inversion.

If  $A$  is invertible, then  $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \operatorname{adj}(A)$ .

# Cramer's Rule

- ▶ If  $Ax = \mathbf{b}$  is a system of  $n$  linear equations in  $n$  unknowns such that  $\det(A) \neq 0$ , then the system has a unique solution given by

$$x_1 = \frac{\det(A_1)}{\det(A)}, x_2 = \frac{\det(A_2)}{\det(A)}, \dots, x_n = \frac{\det(A_n)}{\det(A)},$$

where matrix  $A_j$  is obtained by replacing the  $j$ th column of  $A$  by  $\mathbf{b}$ .

- ▶ Proof:
- ▶ Advantages
  - ▶ No matrix inverse. Only determinants.
  - ▶ Solve for one variable at a time.
  - ▶ Easier for humans.
- ▶ Disadvantage
  - ▶ Solve for one variable at a time.
  - ▶ Slow for a computer.

# Questions

- ▶ Exercise 2.1
  - ▶ 33, 38, 39, 41, all true-false exercises.
- ▶ Exercise 2.2
  - ▶ 4–8, 16, 20, 23, 24, 29, 30, all true-false exercises.
- ▶ Exercise 2.3
  - ▶ 3, 6, 11, 15, 18, 20, 30, 31, 33, 34, 36–39, all true-false exercises.