

CS-667 Advanced Machine Learning

Nazar Khan

PUCIT

Lecture 19
The EM Algorithm
May 4, 2016

The EM Algorithm I

- ▶ We have seen that K-means and GMMs are examples of latent variable models.
- ▶ Specifically for GMMs, we have seen an incremental algorithm for learning the parameters via ML.
- ▶ That algorithm is actually an instance of a powerful framework called *Expectation-Maximisation (EM)*.
- ▶ EM is used for *solving latent variable problems via ML*.
- ▶ We will now present a more general explanation of the EM algorithm.

- ▶ Maximum likelihood is equivalent to maximising the log-likelihood $\ln p(\mathbf{X}|\theta)$.
- ▶ Using the sum-rule

$$\ln p(\mathbf{X}|\theta) = \ln \left(\sum_{\mathbf{Z}} p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}|\theta) \right)$$

- ▶ Maximisation is no longer straight-forward since \ln is 'blocked' by the summation.
- ▶ So we take another approach.

- ▶ We will denote $\{\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}\}$ as the *complete* dataset.
- ▶ We will denote $\{\mathbf{X}\}$ as the *incomplete* dataset.
- ▶ The goal now is to maximise the complete-data log-likelihood function $p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}|\theta)$.
- ▶ But for that we need to know the values of \mathbf{Z} which are unobserved. What *can* be computed about \mathbf{Z} , however, is the posterior $p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \theta)$.
- ▶ So instead of the *uncomputable value* of log-likelihood, the *next best computable number* would be its *expected-value under the posterior* $p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \theta)$.

- ▶ This yields the *E-step* of the EM algorithm.

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X},\theta^{\text{old}}}[\ln p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}|\theta)] = \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \theta^{\text{old}}) \ln p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}|\theta)$$

- ▶ Since we are eventually interested in optimal parameters θ^* we treat this expectation as a function of θ and denote it by $Q(\theta, \theta^{\text{old}})$.
- ▶ The *M-step* corresponds to maximising this expectation

$$\theta^{\text{new}} = \arg \max_{\theta} Q(\theta, \theta^{\text{old}})$$

- ▶ In short, EM replaces the log-likelihood by the *expected log-likelihood* and maximises it.
- ▶ Each EM cycle either moves toward or stays at a local maximum of $\ln p(\mathbf{X}|\theta)$.

The General EM Algorithm

Goal is to maximise likelihood $p(\mathbf{X}|\theta)$ with respect to θ by introducing joint distribution $p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}|\theta)$ involving latent variables \mathbf{Z} .

1. Choose initial θ^{old}
2. **E-step**: Evaluate $p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \theta^{\text{old}})$
3. **M-step**: Obtain new estimate θ^{new} by maximising the expectation $Q(\theta, \theta^{\text{old}})$

$$\theta^{\text{new}} = \arg \max_{\theta} Q(\theta, \theta^{\text{old}})$$

where $Q(\theta, \theta^{\text{old}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \theta^{\text{old}}) \ln p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}|\theta)$.

4. Check for convergence of either log-likelihood or parameters. If not converged, then

$$\theta^{\text{old}} \leftarrow \theta^{\text{new}} \tag{1}$$

and return to step 2.

Extensions of EM

- ▶ EM for MAP estimation via prior $p(\theta)$ amounts to modifying the M-step only.

$$\theta^{\text{new}} = \arg \max_{\theta} Q(\theta, \theta^{\text{old}}) + p(\theta)$$

- ▶ For problems with 'difficult' M-step, maximisation can be replaced by a step that just increases $Q(\theta, \theta^{\text{old}})$. This is known as the *Generalised EM* algorithm.

Proof of Convergence of EM
