# **CS-667 Advanced Machine Learning**

Nazar Khan

**PUCIT** 

The EM Algorithm

# The EM Algorithm

- We have seen that K-means and GMMs are examples of latent variable models.
- Specifically for GMMs, we have seen an incremental algorithm for learning the parameters via ML.
- ► That algorithm is actually an instance of a powerful framework called *Expectation-Maximisation (EM)*.
- ► EM is used for solving latent variable problems via ML.
- We will now present a more general explanation of the EM algorithm.

- Maximum likelihood is equivalent to maximising the log-likelihood In  $p(X|\theta)$ .
- Using the sum-rule

$$\ln p(X|\theta) = \ln \left( \sum_{Z} p(X, Z|\theta) \right)$$

- Maximisation is no longer straight-forward since In is 'blocked'by the summation.
- So we take another approach.

- ▶ We will denote {X, Z} as the *complete* dataset.
- ▶ We will denote {X} as the *incomplete* dataset.
- ▶ The goal now is to maximise the complete-data log-likelihood function  $p(X, Z|\theta)$ .
- ▶ But for that we need to know the values of **Z** which are unobserved. What *can* be computed about **Z**, however, is the posterior  $p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ .
- So instead of the *uncomputable*, *actual value* of log-likelihood, the *next best computable number* would be its *expected-value* under the posterior  $p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ .

▶ This yields the *E-step* of the EM algorithm.

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X},\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\mathsf{old}}}[\ln p(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})] = \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X},\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\mathsf{old}}) \ln p(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- Since we are eventually interested in optimal parameters  $\theta^*$  we treat this expectation as a function of  $\theta$  and denote it by  $\mathcal{Q}(\theta, \theta^{\text{old}})$ .
- ► The *M-step* corresponds to maximising this expectation

$$oldsymbol{ heta}^{\mathsf{new}} = rg \max_{oldsymbol{ heta}} \mathcal{Q}(oldsymbol{ heta}, oldsymbol{ heta}^{\mathsf{old}})$$

- In short, EM replaces the log-likelihood by the expected log-likelihood and maximises it.
- ► Each EM cycle either moves toward or stays at a local maximum of  $\ln p(\mathbf{X}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$ .

#### The General EM Algorithm

Goal is to maximise likelihood  $p(\mathbf{X}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$  with respect to  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  by introducing joint distribution  $p(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$  involving latent variables  $\mathbf{Z}$ .

- 1. Choose initial  $\theta^{\text{old}}$
- 2. E-step: Evaluate  $p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{old}})$
- 3. M-step: Obtain new estimate  $\theta^{\text{new}}$  by maximising the expectation  $\mathcal{Q}(\theta, \theta^{\text{old}})$

$$oldsymbol{ heta}^{\mathsf{new}} = rg \max_{oldsymbol{ heta}} \mathcal{Q}(oldsymbol{ heta}, oldsymbol{ heta}^{\mathsf{old}})$$

where  $Q(\theta, \theta^{\text{old}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \theta^{\text{old}}) \ln p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}|\theta)$ .

4. Check for convergence of either log-likelihood or parameters. If not converged, then

$$\theta^{\mathsf{old}} \leftarrow \theta^{\mathsf{new}}$$
 (1)

and return to step 2.

#### Extensions of EM

▶ EM for MAP estimation via prior  $p(\theta)$  amounts to modifying the M-step only.

$$oldsymbol{ heta}^{\mathsf{new}} = rg \max_{oldsymbol{ heta}} \mathcal{Q}(oldsymbol{ heta}, oldsymbol{ heta}^{\mathsf{old}}) + \ln p(oldsymbol{ heta})$$

For problems with a 'difficult/intractable' M-step, maximisation can be replaced by a step that just increases  $\mathcal{Q}(\theta, \theta^{\text{old}})$ . This is known as the *Generalised EM* algorithm.

- Notice that  $p(X|\theta) = p(X|\theta) \frac{p(Z|X,\theta)}{p(Z|X,\theta)} = \frac{p(X,Z|\theta)}{p(Z|X,\theta)}$ .
- ▶ Recall that  $\sum_{\mathbf{x}} q(\mathbf{x}) = 1$  for any distribution q over any random variable  $\mathbf{x}$ .
- Also recall that Kullback-Leibler divergence between probability distributions p and q is computed as

$$KL(p||q) = -\sum_{\mathbf{x}} p(\mathbf{x}) \ln \frac{q(\mathbf{x})}{p(\mathbf{x})}$$

which is non-symmetric

$$\mathit{KL}(q||p) = -\sum_{\mathbf{x}} q(\mathbf{x}) \ln \frac{p(\mathbf{x})}{q(\mathbf{x})}$$

and always non-negative.

This allows us to write the incomplete data log-likelihood as

$$\ln p(X|\theta) = \ln p(X|\theta) \sum_{Z} q(Z)$$

$$= \sum_{Z} \ln p(X|\theta) q(Z) = \sum_{Z} \ln \frac{p(X,Z|\theta)}{p(Z|X,\theta)} q(Z)$$

$$= \sum_{Z} q(Z) \ln p(X,Z|\theta) - q(Z) \ln p(Z|X,\theta)$$

$$= \sum_{Z} q(Z) \ln p(X,Z|\theta) - q(Z) \ln q(Z) - q(Z) \ln p(Z|X,\theta) + q(Z) \ln q(Z)$$

$$= \sum_{Z} q(Z) \ln \frac{p(X,Z|\theta)}{q(Z)} - \sum_{Z} q(Z) \ln \frac{p(Z|X,\theta)}{q(Z)}$$

 $KL(q||p)\geq 0$ 

 $\mathcal{L}(q,\theta)$ 

- ▶ First term is a function of  $\theta$  and a functional of q.
- Second term is the KL-divergence between q(Z) and posterior  $p(Z|X,\theta)$ .
- ▶ Since KL(q||p) is always  $\geq 0$

$$\ln p(X|\theta) = \mathcal{L}(q,\theta) + KL(q||p)$$

$$\implies \mathcal{L}(q,\theta) \le \ln p(X|\theta)$$
(2)

- ▶ Therefore  $\mathcal{L}(q, \theta)$  is a lower bound on the value of the incomplete data log-likelihood ln  $p(X|\theta)$ .
- ▶ If we choose q or  $\theta$  that increase the value of  $\mathcal{L}(q, \theta)$ , then the value of  $\ln p(X|\theta)$  will also increase.

- **E**-step: Maximize  $\mathcal{L}(q, \theta)$  with respect to q.
  - ▶ Since  $\mathcal{L}(q, \theta)$  cannot exceed  $\ln p(X|\theta)$ , it's maximum value is  $\ln p(X|\theta)$ .
  - ▶ This occurs when KL(q||p) = 0.
  - ▶ This occurs when  $q(Z) = p(Z|X, \theta)$ . So that is  $q^*$ .
- ▶ *M-step:* Maximize  $\mathcal{L}(q, \theta)$  with respect to  $\theta$ .
- Since both the E-step and the M-step either increase or retain the lower-bound  $\mathcal{L}(q,\theta)$ , they either increase or retain the log-likelihood  $\ln p(X|\theta)$  as well.
- ▶ Furthermore, since  $KL(q||p) \ge 0$ , Equation 2 implies that  $\ln p(X|\theta)$  increases even more than the increase in the lower-bound.
- ► Since each EM iteration either increases or retains the complete data log-likelihood, the algorithm is guaranteed to converge to a local maximum.