## CS-667 Advanced Machine Learning

Nazar Khan

PUCIT

Gaussian Mixture Models

## **Gaussian Mixture Models**

- We have already seen that multi-modal densities cannot be modelled via a uni-modal Gaussian.
- They can be modelled via mixtures of Gaussians which are simply linear superpositions of uni-modal Gaussians

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)$$

where the *mixing coefficients*  $\pi_k$  satisfy

$$0 \le \pi_k \le 1$$
$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k = 1$$

► We will now derive the mixture density p(x) using latent variables.

### Gaussian Mixture Models Latent Variable View

- Similar to the K-means approach, let us append our observed variable x with a latent variable z using 1-of-K coding.
- Using elementary probability

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{z}} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\mathbf{z}} p(\mathbf{z}) p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})$$

However, this time we use probabilities (soft assignment)

$$p(z_k=1)=\pi_k$$

▶ Due to the 1-of-*K* representation

$$p(\mathsf{z}) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k^{z_k}$$

and conditional probability

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) = p(\mathbf{x}|z_k = 1) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)$$

#### Gaussian Mixture Models Latent Variable View

 Therefore, the latent variable view also yields the Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM)

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{z}} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\mathbf{z}} p(\mathbf{z}) p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)$$

- More importantly, complicated/multi-modal p(x) has been modelled using simple/uni-modal p(x|z).
- > This powerful idea extends beyond Gaussian mixtures.
  - Mixtures of insert\_your\_favourite distribution.
  - Mixtures of linear regression.
  - Mixtures of logistic regression.
  - ▶ ...

### Gaussian Mixture Models Responsibilities

- p(x) is the *marginal density* that we are looking to model.
- ▶ p(x|z<sub>k</sub> = 1) is the component conditional density. That is, probability density of x according to component k.
- $p(z_k = 1)$  is the *prior probability* of component k.
- $p(z_k = 1 | \mathbf{x})$  is the *posterior probability* of component k.
  - Can be viewed as the *responsibility* that component k takes for explaining observation x.
  - Can be computed via Bayes' theorem

$$\begin{split} p(z_k = 1 | \mathbf{x}) &= \frac{p(z_k = 1) p(\mathbf{x} | z_k = 1)}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} p(z_j = 1) p(\mathbf{x} | z_j = 1)} \\ &= \frac{\pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \pi_j \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_j, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_j)} \end{split}$$

• We will denote responsibility by  $r_k = p(z_k = 1 | \mathbf{x})$ .

### Gaussian Mixture Models Parameter Estimation

- The latent variable view of GMMs suggests iterative, alternating optimisation.
- Given i.i.d. data {x<sub>1</sub>,...,x<sub>N</sub>} and an integer K > 1, find mixing coefficients {π<sub>k</sub>} and Gaussian parameters {μ<sub>k</sub>} and {Σ<sub>k</sub>}.
- Likelihood is given by  $\prod_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k).$
- Log-likelihood is given by  $\sum_{n=1}^{N} \ln \left( \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k) \right).$ 
  - Notice that the summation in the mixture model prevents the natural logarithm from cancelling out the Gaussian exponential. So, no closed form solution.
  - Solution 1: Gradient ascent.
  - Solution 2: Alternating optimisation.

# Gaussian Mixture Models Estimation of $\mu_k$

Using maximum likelihood

$$\mathbf{0} \equiv \frac{\partial \ln p(\mathbf{X}|\boldsymbol{\pi}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}}$$
$$\implies \mathbf{0} = -\sum_{n=1}^{N} \underbrace{\frac{\pi_{k} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{n} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{k})}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \pi_{j} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{n} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_{j}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j})}}_{r_{nk}} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{k}(\mathbf{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k})$$
$$\implies \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} r_{nk} \mathbf{x}_{n}}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} r_{nk}} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} r_{nk} \mathbf{x}_{n}}{N_{k}}$$

 Notice the similarity with K-means. The only difference here is that the hard assignments have been replaced by soft responsibilities.

# Gaussian Mixture Models Estimation of $\Sigma_k$

## Similarly

$$\mathbf{0} \equiv \frac{\partial \ln p(\mathbf{X}|\boldsymbol{\pi}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{k}}$$
$$\implies \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{k} = \frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} r_{nk} (\mathbf{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}) (\mathbf{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k})^{T}$$

This is similar to the result for fitting a single Gaussian but now each data point is weighted by the responsibility r<sub>nk</sub>.

# **Gaussian Mixture Models** *Estimation of* $\pi_k$

- Maximisation with respect to π<sub>k</sub> is a constrained maximisation since π<sub>k</sub> correspond to probability values.
- So we maximise the Lagrangian

$$L(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\pi}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \ln p(\mathbf{X} | \boldsymbol{\pi}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) + \boldsymbol{\lambda} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k - 1 \right)$$

by setting the gradient to 0

$$0 \equiv \frac{\partial L(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\pi}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})}{\partial \pi_k}$$
$$\implies 0 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \pi_j \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_j, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_j)} + \lambda$$

- ► Multiplying both sides by π<sub>k</sub> and then summing both sides over k yields λ = −N.
- Substituting  $\lambda = -N$  and rearranging yields

$$\pi_k = \frac{N_k}{N}$$

In words, mixing coefficient for component k is given by the average responsibility that it takes for explaining the training data points.

#### Gaussian Mixture Models Parameter Estimation

- ▶ Notice that solutions for  $\mu_k$ ,  $\Sigma_k$  and  $\pi_k$  are dependent on the responsibilities  $r_{nk}$ .
- However, the responsibilities depend on  $\mu_k, \Sigma_k$  and  $\pi_k$ .
- We can now present the alternating optimisation algorithm for GMMs.

## Alternating Optimisation for GMMs

**Data**: Data points  $\{x_1, ..., x_N\}$ , integer K > 1. **Result**: Component parameters  $\{\mu_k, \Sigma_k\}$ , mixing coefficients  $\{\pi_k\}$ 

- 1. Choose some initial values for  $\mu_k, \Sigma_k, \pi_k$
- 2. Fix parameters, update responsibilities  $r_{nk} = \frac{\pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} \pi_i \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_i, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i)}$
- 3. Fix responsibilities, update parameters

$$\mu_k^{\text{new}} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N r_{nk} \mathbf{x}_n}{N_k} \text{ where } N_k = \sum_{n=1}^N r_{nk}$$
$$\Sigma_k^{\text{new}} = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n=1}^N r_{nk} (\mathbf{x}_n - \mu_k^{\text{new}}) (\mathbf{x}_n - \mu_k^{\text{new}})^T$$
$$\pi_k^{\text{new}} = \frac{N_k}{N}$$

## Alternating Optimisation for GMMs

4. Evaluate log-likelihood

$$\ln p(\mathbf{X}|\boldsymbol{\pi}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \ln \left( \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k) \right)$$

and check for convergence of either log-likelihood or parameters. If not converged, return to step 2.

## Alternating Optimisation for GMMs

- Each iteration increases (or retains) the value of the log-likelihood.
- ► Therefore, convergence to (local) maximum is guaranteed.
- ► This algorithm has a name *Expectation Maximisation (EM)*.
  - We will cover it in detail in next lecture.
- Converges slower than K-means and performs more computations per-iteration.
- Usually a good idea to initialise EM by the result of K-means.
  - Set  $\mu_k$  to the *k*-th K-means cluster center.
  - Set  $\Sigma_k$  to the data covariance matrices for *k*-th K-means cluster.
  - Set  $\pi_k$  to the fraction of points assigned by K-means to cluster k.

### Alternating Optimisation for GMMs Singularity Avoidance

- Log-likelihood equals infinity if any Gaussian component 'collapses 'to a training data point. (Why?)
- ► This represents a pathological condition or *singularity*.
- Care must be taken to check if that has happened or is close to happening.
- If so, the collapsing component should be reset to some other randomly chosen μ<sub>k</sub> and large Σ<sub>k</sub> and the optimisation should proceed as before.

## Assignment 6 EM for Gaussian Mixture Model

- Density estimation via Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM).
  - Code up a generic implementation of learning a GMM via the EM algorithm in function [mixing\_coefs,means,covariance\_mats]=learn\_gmm(X,K) where X is a D × N data matrix and K is the number of Gaussian components.
  - Regenerate Figure 9.8 in Bishop's book.
- Submit your\_roll\_number\_GMM.zip containing
  - ► code,
  - generated image, and
  - report.txt/pdf explaining your results.
- ▶ Due Wednesday, May 16, 2018 before 5:30 pm on \\printsrv.